Widespread quorum-sensing (QS) enables bacteria to communicate and plays a critical role in controlling bacterial virulence. However, effects of promiscuous QS crosstalk and its implications for gene regulation and cell decision-making remain largely unknown. Here we systematically studied the crosstalk between LuxR/I and LasR/I systems and found that QS crosstalk can be dissected into signal crosstalk and promoter crosstalk. Further investigations using synthetic positive feedback circuits revealed that signal crosstalk significantly decreases a circuit’s bistable potential while maintaining unimodality. Promoter crosstalk, however, reproducibly generates complex trimodal responses resulting from noise-induced state transitions and host-circuit interactions. A mathematical model that integrates the circuit’s nonlinearity, stochasticity, and host-circuit interactions was developed, and its predictions of conditions for trimodality were verified experimentally. Combining synthetic biology and mathematical modeling, this work sheds light on the complex behaviors emerging from QS crosstalk, which could be exploited for therapeutics and biotechnology.

INTRODUCTION

Quorum-sensing (QS) is a widespread mechanism that bacteria use to regulate gene expression and coordinate population behavior based on local cell density (Ng and Bassler, 2009). It is achieved through the binding of QS regulators with their cognate signal molecules (autoinducers) to regulate downstream QS pathways. Autoinducers are produced inside the cell and diffuse into and out of bacterial cells. Therefore, an autoinducer’s intracellular concentration correlates with local cell density (Ng and Bassler, 2009). There are diverse QS mechanisms allowing for bacterial communication: gram-positive bacteria generally use two-component systems mediated by peptides, while gram-negative bacteria primarily use LuxR/LuxI-type systems mediated by acylated homoserine lactones (Miller and Bassler, 2001; Ng and Bassler, 2009). Many bacterial activities are controlled or regulated by QS, such as antibiotic production, biofilm development, bioluminescence, colonization, sporulation, symbiosis, and virulence (Jayaraman and Wood, 2008; LaSarre and Ferreira, 2013; Miller and Bassler, 2001; Ng and Bassler, 2009; Solaño et al., 2014).

With well-defined and characterized biological properties, several QS regulators and corresponding autoinducers have also been used for synthetic gene networks. For example, LuxR/LuxI and/or LasR/LasI pairs were used to generate programmed patterns (Basu et al., 2005; Payne et al., 2013), trigger biofilm formation (Hong et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2004), develop synthetic ecosystems and program population dynamics (Balagaddé et al., 2008; Brenner et al., 2007), and construct synchronized oscillators (Danino et al., 2010; Prindle et al., 2012), edge detectors (Tabor et al., 2009), and pulse generators (Basu et al., 2004). RhlR/RhlI has also been used in the study of generic mechanisms of natural selection (Chuang et al., 2009) as well as for carrying out biological computations as chemical “wires” (Tamsir et al., 2011).

However, effects of QS crosstalk, functional interactions between QS components that are not naturally paired, remain unexplored. For example, widely used LuxR-family regulators share extensive homologies and structural similarities in their corresponding autoinducers. LuxR binds its natural ligand 3-oxo-C6-HSL (3OC6HSL, hereafter denoted as C6) to activate the pLux promoter, while LasR binds 3-oxo-C12-HSL (3OC12HSL, hereafter denoted as C12) to activate pLas (Table S1 available online) (Fuqua et al., 1996; Meighen, 1994; Miller and Bassler, 2001; Ng and Bassler, 2009; Schuster et al., 2004; Stevens and Greenberg, 1997). However, the LuxR protein can also bind other HSLs, such as C7HSL and 3OC8HSL (Canton et al., 2008). When binding C12, LasR is able to activate pLux in addition to the naturally paired pLas promoter (Balagaddé et al., 2008). Implications of such crosstalk on gene regulation and cell response remain largely unknown.

Here, we use rationally designed gene networks to probe crosstalk between the LuxR/I and LasR/I systems and investigate their elicited bistable behaviors from positive feedback topologies. By using a synthetic biology approach, all combinations of autoinducer, regulator, and promoter were tested to show that QS crosstalk can be dissected into signal crosstalk and promoter crosstalk. When studied in the context of a synthetic positive feedback gene network, our results indicate that QS crosstalk leads to distinct dynamic behaviors: signal crosstalk significantly decreases the circuit’s induction range for bistability, but promoter crosstalk causes transposon insertions into the regulator gene and yields trimodal responses due to a combination of mutagenesis and noise-induced state transitions. To fully understand this complex response, we developed and experimentally verified a mathematical model that takes into account all of these factors to simulate and predict how varying the transposition rate can modulate this trimodality. This reveals...
Figure 1. QS Crosstalk Dissected Using Synthetic Gene Circuits

(A) LuxR can crosstalk with C12 to activate pLux. Top: schematic diagram of a synthetic gene circuit where a constitutive promoter (gray arrow) regulates LuxR (purple rectangle) expression. LuxR protein (purple bars), when dimerized and bound with C6 or C12, can activate pLux (purple arrow) to induce GFP (green rectangle) expression. The autoinducers, genes, and promoters are color coded so that naturally paired partners are in the same color. Bottom: dose response of the circuit when induced with C6 (gray) or C12 (black). (B) LasR can crosstalk with pLux when bound with C12. Top: schematic diagram of a circuit similar to that in (A), where a constitutive promoter regulates LasR (cyan rectangle) expression. LasR protein, when bound with C6 or C12, can activate pLux to induce GFP expression. Bottom: Dose response of this circuit when induced with C6 (gray) or C12 (black). Bar heights are averages of three independent flow cytometry measurements shown as mean ± SD.

(C) Summary of crosstalk induction of all 16 different combinations, including inductions by both chemicals and corresponding synthase genes. The four combinations shown in (A) and (B) are highlighted with a gray background. Quantified results for other combinations are included in Figure S1.

In addition to promiscuous autoinducer binding resulting in signal crosstalk, the systems studied also displayed crosstalk between regulators and promoters, here termed promoter crosstalk. It is shown in Figure 1B that, in addition to being able to activate pLas, LasR significantly activates pLux when induced with its natural cognate ligand C12, although not with C6, which suggests that LasR’s DNA binding domain can recognize both pLas and pLux when bound with its natural partner. This promoter crosstalk is robust over a wide range of autoinducer concentrations. Similar tests of promoter crosstalk of C6-LuxR to pLas (Figure S1B) show only weak induction. This suggests that the efficacy of promoter crosstalk is also QS system specific. It should also be noted that a third type of cross-talk, regulator crosstalk, in which naturally paired autoinducer and promoter function through a crosstalking regulator protein, only exhibited minimal levels of activation (gray bar in Figure 1B and black bar in Figure S1B).

To further verify the crosstalk under physiologically relevant dosages of autoinducers, synthase genes LuxI and LasI were introduced to replace commercial chemicals in eight different circuits (Figures S1C and S1D). The results further confirm that pLux can be activated by LuxR with LuxI or LasI, as well as by LasR with LasI. This is consistent with the results using commercial chemicals, indicating the crosstalk categorization is also applicable in vivo. All combinatorial activations between LuxR/I and LasR/I systems are summarized in Figure 1C, with crosstalk highlighted in red. Taken together, detectable crosstalk between LuxR/I and LasR/I systems can be categorized into two types: LasI (C12) can crosstalk with the LuxR protein as high as 10⁻³ M. This suggests that the efficacy of signal crosstalk is QS system specific.

RESULTS

Dissecting the Crosstalk between LuxR/I and LasR/I Using Synthetic Circuits

To characterize possible crosstalk between LuxR/I and LasR/I signaling systems, four synthetic circuits, CP (constitutive promoter)-LuxR-pLux (Figure 1A), CP-LasR-pLux (Figure 1B), CP-LasR-pLas (Figure S1A), and CP-LuxR-pLas (Figure S1B), were first built to test all autoinducer-regulator-promoter combinations’ impact on gene expression activation. C6 and C12 were applied independently to all constructs, and green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression under the regulation of pLux or pLas was measured as the readout.

It can be seen in Figure 1A that in addition to its natural partner C6, LuxR can also bind with C12 molecules to activate pLux, which suggests that the binding with C6 or C12 results in a similar conformational change of LuxR and therefore its activating functions remain uninterrupted. Such an activation of a natural QS regulator-promoter pair by a crosstalking autoinducer is here termed signal crosstalk. It can be seen that this signal crosstalk can fully activate the system with comparable induction dosages. However, similar tests of signal crosstalk of C6 with the Las regulator-promoter pair (Figure S1A) only show comparable induction when the autoinducer concentration is as high as 10⁻³ M. This suggests that the efficacy of signal crosstalk is QS system specific.

A factor of host-circuit interactions in shaping complex responses of synthetic gene networks.
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Figure 2. Signal Crosstalk Causes Shrinkage of Bistable Region
(A) Schematic diagram of a synthetic gene circuit where the pLux promoter regulates expression of LuxR, which in turn can bind with C6 or C12 to further activate pLux, forming a positive feedback loop (shown as simplified diagram). GFP under the regulation of pLux serves as the readout for LuxR levels. All components are color coded similarly as in Figure 1.
(B) The average of three replicate flow cytometry measurements is plotted as a square with error bars for each dose of C6 induction, where red indicates Initial ON cells whereas blue denotes Initial OFF cells. Solid lines represent results calculated from model fittings. The bistable region ranges from 0 to 10^-6 M C6. Labels 1 and 2 indicate representative experiments within the region to be shown as histograms in (D).
(C) Similar experiments as in (B) but with C12 inductions. The bistable region ranges from 10^-6 to 10^-8 M C12. Labels 3 and 4 indicate representative experiments within the bistable region to be shown as histograms in (D).
(D) Histograms of flow cytometry measurements labeled in (B) and (C). One representative measurement from each point is shown. No bimodal distributions are observed.

Signal Crosstalk Induces Distinct Responses from Positive Feedback Circuits
Next, synthetic positive feedback circuits were constructed to investigate the impact of QS crosstalk in the context of gene regulatory networks. It is shown that the core of many bacteria’s QS decision-making circuits is a positive feedback motif (Ji et al., 1995; Kaplan and Greenberg, 1985; de Kievit and Iglewski, 2000; Pestova et al., 1996; Piper et al., 1993; Seed et al., 1995). Because of its potential bistability, such a topology enables the bacteria to make appropriate binary decisions in response to changing environments (Ozbudak et al., 2004; Xiong and Ferrell, 2003; Guido et al., 2006; Isaacs et al., 2003). Synthetic positive feedback circuits serve as suitable platforms to probe the effects of signal and promoter crosstalk within the framework of gene regulatory networks.

The design shown in Figure 2A was first constructed to study signal crosstalk. In this circuit, expression of LuxR is regulated by the promoter pLux, which can be activated by LuxR when induced, forming a positive feedback loop. pLux-driven GFP expression serves as the readout for LuxR levels. Robustness of history-dependent responses (hysteresis), a hallmark of many positive feedback topologies, is used as the main measure of signal crosstalk impacts because it captures the effectiveness of the circuit’s decision-making functionality (Acar et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2010).

As a benchmark, uninduced (Initial OFF) cells with the circuit were first induced with different concentrations of LuxR’s natural inducer C6 and measured using flow cytometry (Figure 2B, blue). It can be seen that GFP is only turned on with 10^-6 M or higher C6 induction. The cells treated with 10^-8 M C6 (Initial ON) were then collected and diluted into new medium with the same concentrations of C6 (Figure 2B, red). These cells keep high GFP expression even with low C6 inductions (below 10^-9 M) due to the self-sustaining nature of positive feedback loops. Taken together, these results illustrate this circuit’s hysteretic response with C6 inducer concentrations between 0 and 10^-8 M. This indicates that under C6 induction, the positive feedback circuit is bistable between 0 and 10^-8 M C6 induction. However, no bimodal distribution was observed within the bistable region based on flow cytometry measurements (Figure 2D, purple and light purple; and Figure S2A), suggesting that the barrier between the two states is too high for inherent gene expression stochasticity to overcome (Acar et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2000).

Next, C12 was used to induce the same construct to investigate the impact of signal crosstalk on gene network regulation. Similar induction experiments were carried out and the results are shown in Figure 2C. It can be seen that this circuit also displays hysteresis, but with a much smaller bistable region between 10^-8 and 10^-6 M C12. Flow cytometry results within the bistable region also show no bimodal distributions (Figure 2D, cyan and light cyan; and Figure S2B).

To quantitatively understand the signal crosstalk-caused shrinkage of the bistable region, an ordinary differential equation model of LuxR-pLux auto-activation was developed. Two major
Engineer QS Crosstalk to Generate Diverse Dynamics

Figure 3. Promoter Crosstalk Induces Mutation and Leads to Population Heterogeneity

(A) Schematic diagram of a synthetic LasR-pLux positive feedback circuit. GFP under the regulation of pLux serves as the readout for LuxR levels. All components are color coded similarly to Figure 1.

(B) The average of three replicate flow cytometry measurements is plotted as a square with error bars for each dose of C12 induction. Blue denotes Initial OFF cells, whereas green and red indicate the Initial ON cells induced with $10^{-4}$ M C12 and $10^{-9}$ M C12 before being re-diluted into concentrations of C12, respectively. Labels 1, 2, 3, and 4 indicate experiments to be shown in detail as histograms in (C).

(C) Histograms of flow cytometry measurements labeled in (B). One representative measurement from each point is shown. A bimodal distribution is only observed for label 3: which is Initial ON cells (induced with $10^{-9}$ M C12 before redilution) at $10^{-8}$ M C12.

(D) DNA analysis for the Initial ON samples shown as red in (B). Top: Plasmid DNA was extracted and digested with EcoRI and PstI, and agarose gel electrophoresis results indicated gene mutation happened in samples with $10^{-9}$ M and higher doses of C12. Lane 1 is the wild-type plasmid as the control, lanes 2 to 9 are samples in $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-4}$ M C12, and Lane 10 is the 1kb DNA marker. V, vector; F, wild-type DNA fragment (the LasR-pLux positive feedback circuit); M, mutated fragment. Bottom: Schematic representation of the mutation and the features of IS10 transposase insertion: the target site (first CGCGTACCG) in the LasR gene, its duplication (second CGCGTACCG) due to insertion of IS10 transposase, and the IS10 sequence (red box and shown in italics).

kinetic events, LuxR transcription and translation, are described by two ordinary differential equations with all binding between chemical species incorporated into model terms. After fitting the parameters using existing literature and experimental measurements (Table S2), the model can capture the experimental results (lines in Figures 2B and 2C) with accuracy. Inspection of model parameters reveals that the bistable region decrease caused by signal crosstalk can be largely accounted for by differential binding affinities between LuxR and C6 and C12. This suggests a way to perturb QS decision making through utilization of crosstalking autoinducers, which could be useful for clinical therapies.

**Promoter Crosstalk Induces Unexpected and Complex Bimodal Responses**

To study the impacts of promoter crosstalk, a positive feedback circuit was constructed with LasR under the regulation of pLux (Figure 3A). It is shown in Figure 1B that LasR can activate pLux when induced by C12. Therefore, this circuit also forms a positive feedback loop in the presence of C12. GFP under regulation of pLux is again included as a readout for LasR. Experimental explorations of hysteresis were carried out and the results are shown in Figure 3B. It can be seen that initial OFF cells (blue) exhibit a nonmonotonic response to C12 induction: GFP expression increases with C12 concentration, but begins to uniformly decrease when C12 induction exceeds $10^{-8}$ M (Figure 3B; Figures S3A and S3B). Cells induced with $10^{-4}$ M C12 were then collected and diluted into fresh medium with the same inducer concentrations as the initial OFF cells. Flow cytometry data show that all samples exhibit unimodal minimal fluorescence signals that are even lower than the basal GFP expression of initial OFF cells (Figures 3B and 3C, green, and S3B).

Considering that both C12 and exogenous gene overexpression may be toxic to cells, as well as the fact that initial OFF cells can be turned on with lower induction dosages, cells induced with lower than $10^{-4}$ M but higher than $10^{-10}$ M C12 were collected as new initial ON cells to further explore possible hysteresis of this circuit. Collected cells were diluted into fresh medium with the same concentrations of C12. These new initial ON cells demonstrate the same expression pattern as the initial OFF cells when grown in inducer concentrations from 0 to $10^{-9}$ M, but they show much lower fluorescence values at higher concentrations. For example, the red points in Figure 3B illustrate the GFP average of $10^{-9}$ M induced initial ON cells when collected and re-diluted into a range of C12 concentrations (Figure S3C for results with other initial induction dosages). Examination of the flow cytometry measurements of these ON cells reveals that bimodal distributions emerge within the concentration range of $10^{-8}$ M to $10^{-4}$ M C12. Interestingly, one peak of the distribution is at the high state and the other is at the minimal expression state, even lower than basal expression.

Engineer QS Crosstalk to Generate Diverse Dynamics

**Promoter Crosstalk Induces Unexpected and Complex Bimodal Responses**

To study the impacts of promoter crosstalk, a positive feedback circuit was constructed with LasR under the regulation of pLux (Figure 3A). It is shown in Figure 1B that LasR can activate pLux when induced by C12. Therefore, this circuit also forms a positive feedback loop in the presence of C12. GFP under regulation of pLux is again included as a readout for LasR. Experimental explorations of hysteresis were carried out and the results are shown in Figure 3B. It can be seen that initial OFF cells (blue) exhibit a nonmonotonic response to C12 induction: GFP expression increases with C12 concentration, but begins to uniformly decrease when C12 induction exceeds $10^{-8}$ M (Figure 3B; Figures S3A and S3B). Cells induced with $10^{-4}$ M C12 were then collected and diluted into fresh medium with the same inducer concentrations as the initial OFF cells. Flow cytometry data show that all samples exhibit unimodal minimal fluorescence signals that are even lower than the basal GFP expression of initial OFF cells (Figures 3B and 3C, green, and S3B).

Considering that both C12 and exogenous gene overexpression may be toxic to cells, as well as the fact that initial OFF cells can be turned on with lower induction dosages, cells induced with lower than $10^{-4}$ M but higher than $10^{-10}$ M C12 were collected as new initial ON cells to further explore possible hysteresis of this circuit. Collected cells were diluted into fresh medium with the same concentrations of C12. These new initial ON cells demonstrate the same expression pattern as the initial OFF cells when grown in inducer concentrations from 0 to $10^{-9}$ M, but they show much lower fluorescence values at higher concentrations. For example, the red points in Figure 3B illustrate the GFP average of $10^{-9}$ M induced initial ON cells when collected and re-diluted into a range of C12 concentrations (Figure S3C for results with other initial induction dosages). Examination of the flow cytometry measurements of these ON cells reveals that bimodal distributions emerge within the concentration range of $10^{-8}$ M to $10^{-4}$ M C12. Interestingly, one peak of the distribution is at the high state and the other is at the minimal expression state, even lower than basal expression.
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(Figure 3C, red). So, unlike classic bimodal responses due to bistability, LasR-pLux positive feedback exhibits bimodality with the lower peak’s expression even weaker than the OFF state. To exclude the possibility that this bimodality is triggered by inherent properties of the LasR-C12 complex, similar hysteresis experiments were carried out for the linear CP-LasR-pLux circuit (Figure 1B). Results show that the initial OFF and ON cells both exhibit unimodal expression without hysteresis (Figure S3D). The bimodality is, therefore, distinct to the initial ON cells with LasR-pLux positive feedback.

**Bimodality Results from Host-Circuit Interactions**

The remaining question is: what is the cause of the minimal expression state? To resolve this problem, new initial ON samples at concentrations of $10^{-11}$ M to $10^{-8}$ M C12 (Figure 3B, red triangles) were collected. Their plasmids were extracted and digested for genotyping. The agarose gel electrophoresis results show that a new band ($\sim 3.2$ kb) replaces the original fragment band (wild-type, $\sim 1.9$ kb) for samples in $10^{-8}$ to $10^{-5}$ M C12, and that a faint original-fragment band can also be seen for samples with $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-7}$ M C12 inductions (Figure 3D). Further sequencing analyses verify that an IS10 transposase is inserted into the LasR gene at the 682 bp site and this insertion is flanked by two 9 bp direct repeats 5'-CGCGTACGGG-3' (Figure 3D and Supplemental Experimental Procedures), which is consistent with reported hotspots for IS10 insertion [Kovarik et al., 2001].

The insertion abolishes LasR’s ability to activate downstream GFP expression, which in turn causes the cells’ fluorescence signal to be even weaker than basal expression when LasR is intact. Cells with this type of mutation form the low GFP peak in the bimodal distributions in Figure 3C. On the other hand, cells that do not mutate maintain high GFP expression due to positive feedback, forming the GFP ON peak of the bimodal distributions. Taken together, the combination of gene network-activated GFP expression and mutation-caused GFP inhibition drives the emergence of a bimodal distribution.

**Trimodality Predicted by Expanded Model**

In light of the verified mutation in the LasR-pLux positive feedback system, the mathematical model was expanded to take into account crosstalk triggered genetic changes to better describe the circuit. To enable comparison with flow cytometry results, the ordinary differential equations were transformed into corresponding biochemical reactions and simulated stochastically [Gillespie, 1977]. In addition, each cell was assigned a probability of mutation throughout the simulation (Figure 4C, inset), which is dependent on the cell’s current LasR/GFP level and the transposition rate. Once mutated, the cells had only minimal GFP expression strength and remained mutated until the end of the simulation. Finally, growth rate differences between wild-type and mutated cells were computed from experiments (Figure S4A) and taken into consideration in the simulation. Results of stochastic simulations of this expanded model are shown in Figure 4A, exhibiting the bimodal distribution observed experimentally (red curves in Figure 4A, simulation; and Figure 4B, experiment).

To further investigate the impact of this mutation on the circuit’s functions, simulations were carried out with perturbed parameters to mimic various scenarios. First, the transposition rate was artificially set to zero, and the simulations show that the system can also exhibit a bimodal distribution (Figure 4A, blue), with the OFF peak exhibiting basal GFP expression. Bimodality has been reported to arise from stochastic state switching of a bistable system without any genetic changes [Acar et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2000; Tan et al., 2009]. The same mechanism leads to simulated bimodality of this LasR-pLux circuit when there is no mutation.

While it is almost impossible to eliminate mutation, it is possible to decrease the transposition rate experimentally. To explore the impacts of mutation in a more realistic scenario, simulations were carried out with positive but smaller transposition rates. Interestingly, the system demonstrates a trimodal distribution (Figure 4A, green). In this distribution, there are three groups of cells: ON, OFF, and Mutated. Those cells initialized at the ON state freely transition to and from the OFF state, due to the system’s bistability. Meanwhile, all cells have the chance to mutate and stay mutated (Figure 4C). Given enough time and the right measurement window, all three groups of cells would be visible. Within this window, the portion of ON and OFF cells will gradually decrease and the number of mutated cells will increase because the mutation is irreversible. The effect of a decreased transposition rate is essentially slowing down the ON to Mutation transition rate and giving enough time for ON to OFF transitions and hence the emergence of the OFF peak. Time courses of the simulations demonstrate gradual emergence and evolution of these three populations of cells (Figure 4D).

**Experimental Validation of Trimodal Responses by Lowering Growth Temperature**

Previous reports indicated that transposition frequency can be perturbed by growth temperatures [McClelland, 1984; Ohitsubo et al., 2005; Sousa et al., 2013]. To tune the transposition rate, experiments were carried out with cells cultured at a lower 34°C temperature, which was shown to slow down crosstalk triggered mutation of this circuit (Figure S4B). Consistent with model predictions, initial ON cells induced with $10^{-8}$ M C12 exhibited a trimodal response when the growth temperature was tuned from 37°C to 34°C (Figure 4B, green). Moreover, temporal evolution of the proportion of each subpopulation was consistent with model predictions: the portion of ON cells gradually decreased, the Mutation portion increased, and the OFF portion increased first and then decreased as time went on (Figure 4E). Growth rates of cells at Mutated, ON, or OFF states were also measured and show no difference when cultured at these two different temperatures (Figure S4A). The emergence of the OFF peak, therefore, is fully accounted for by the decrease of transposition rate, which slows down the direct transitions from ON to Mutation and therefore gives the cells time to layover at the OFF state. This is also evidenced by the smaller portion of Mutated cells when grown at 34°C compared with 37°C (Figure S4B).

Furthermore, a microfluidic platform coupled with time-lapse imaging was also employed to verify model predictions [Ferry et al., 2011]. Cells were pretreated with $10^{-9}$ M C12 until steady state as the initial ON cells before being loaded into the device and induced with $10^{-8}$ M C12 at 34°C to mimic the experimental conditions.
protocols used in Figure 4E. Initially, there was only one ON cell loaded into the trap (Figure 5A; Movie S1). At the eighth hour, two populations began to emerge: some cells became OFF and some stayed ON. Mutations started to occur shortly after the eighth hour, and the OFF and Mutation cells accounted for ~90% of the population after 16 hr. Eventually, mutation state cells took up the majority of the population. There were also several OFF cells that became ON again, owing to stochastic gene expression noise, but they eventually exhibit a similar evolving process: ON to OFF or Mutation (Figure 5B; Movie S1), which is consistent with the stochastic model simulations shown in Figure 4C.

Altogether, the flow cytometry and microfluidic data confirmed the model’s predicted trimodality, which arises from bistability of the positive feedback circuit and host-circuit interactions. In the context of positive feedback, cells transition freely between the ON and OFF states, but it is easier for ON state cells to transition to the OFF state because of the asymmetric energy barrier (Figure S4C). However, the ON cells can also transition to the Mutated state, which carries an advantage of growth rate (Figure S4A). Compared to OFF state cells, those in the ON state would transition more frequently to the Mutated state at 37°C, leading to the bimodal distribution (Figure 3). When the growth temperature is reduced to 34°C, the transposition frequency also decreases, meaning that the barrier between ON and Mutated state increases. Therefore, more ON cells would transition to the OFF state, which promotes the emergence of trimodality (Figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

QS is an ubiquitous mechanism in nature, and its regulator-autoinducer pairs, such as LuxR/LuxI and LasR/LasI, have been used in synthetic biology for a wide range of applications (Balagaddé et al., 2008; Basu et al., 2004, 2005; Brenner et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014; Chuang et al., 2009; Danino et al., 2010; Hong et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Payne et al., 2013; Prindle et al., 2012; Tabor et al., 2009; Tamsir et al., 2011; Pai et al., 2012). However, evolutionary pressures from limited resources in a competitive environment promote promiscuous bacterial communication, which takes the form of...
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The third non-GFP population is the result of genetic mutation from IS10 insertion. The mutation only happened in the C12-LasR-pLux positive feedback circuit but not in CP-LasR-pLux-C12 (Figure S3D) or the C12-LuxR-pLux positive feedback circuit (Figure S2B). It is, therefore, possible that the special sequence arrangements of the positive feedback circuit (for example, the symmetric pLux promoters flanking the LasR gene) on the plasmid coupled with the stress of exogenous protein overexpression led to transposon activation and gene network destruction. Given that many current synthetic gene circuits are constructed with a similar symmetric structure due to host-circuit interactions. We computationally predicted complex trimodal responses when embedded within a positive feedback circuit. This can only be explained when network bistability, gene expression stochasticity, and genetic mutations are all taken into consideration. These results highlight the potential for engineering gene networks to express complex behaviors due to host-circuit interactions. We computationally predicted and experimentally verified that the C12-LasR-pLux positive feedback circuit could drive the formation of three subpopulations from an isogenic initial culture: one population expressing high GFP, the second showing basal GFP expression, and the third population with no GFP expression. The high and low GFP states are the result of positive-feedback-enabled bistability and gene expression stochasticity-induced random state transitions; commonly reported as a hallmark of many bistable systems (Acar et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2000; Tan et al., 2009; Guido et al., 2006; Isaacs et al., 2003). This population heterogeneity is not caused by genetic factors.

On the other hand, promoter crosstalk caused complex trimodal responses when embedded within a positive feedback circuit. This can only be explained when network bistability, gene expression stochasticity, and genetic mutations are all taken into consideration. These results highlight the potential for engineering gene networks to express complex behaviors due to host-circuit interactions. We computationally predicted and experimentally verified that the C12-LasR-pLux positive feedback circuit could drive the formation of three subpopulations from an isogenic initial culture: one population expressing high GFP, the second showing basal GFP expression, and the third population with no GFP expression. The high and low GFP states are the result of positive-feedback-enabled bistability and gene expression stochasticity-induced random state transitions; commonly reported as a hallmark of many bistable systems (Acar et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2000; Tan et al., 2009; Guido et al., 2006; Isaacs et al., 2003). This population heterogeneity is not caused by genetic factors.

Figure 5. Fluorescence Microscopy Validation of Mathematical Model Predictions
(A) GFP fluorescence (top) and phase contrast (bottom) images of cells growing in the microfluidic chamber at 0, 8, 16, and 24 hr. Magnification: 40x.
(B) Normalized fluorescence expression of representative cells from (A), showing similar behavior to that predicted by the model from Figure 4C. Four cells are colored corresponding to the scenarios in Figure 4C, and the other 11 cells are gray. Each trajectory follows one cell, with the trajectory branching as the cells divide. One frame equals 5 minutes.
(C) Diagram of the mechanism for trimodality. Each “valley” represents one state. The blue curve represents the landscape at 37°C, and the dotted gray curve is the landscape at 34°C. At 37°C, ON state cells can more easily transition to the Mutated state because of the low barrier; while at 34°C, the barrier between ON and Mutated states increases, resulting in more ON cells transitioning to OFF state and promoting the emergence of trimodality.
reported host-circuit interactions, which are primarily related to resource limitation and resulting growth defects (Brophy and Voigt, 2014). Here, we illustrated that both the components used and the topology of the network constructed could contribute to resource-independent host-circuit interactions. This concept of combining nonlinear dynamics and host-circuit interactions to enrich population diversity expands our understanding of mechanisms contributing to cell-cell variability, and suggests new directions in engineering gene networks to utilize hybrid factors. Taken together, our studies not only showcase living cells’ amazing complexity and the difficulty in the refining of engineered biological systems, but also reveal an overlooked mechanism by which multimodality arises from the combination of an engineered gene circuit and host-circuit interactions (Ellis et al., 2009; Hussain et al., 2014; Litcofsky et al., 2012; Nevozhay et al., 2013; Prindie et al., 2014).

SIGNIFICANCE

Widespread quorum-sensing (QS) enables bacteria to communicate and plays a critical role in controlling bacterial virulence. QS components have also been widely used in synthetic biology applications. However, effects of promiscuous QS crosstalk remain unexplored. Here we systematically studied the crosstalk between LuxR/I and LasR/I systems. Combining synthetic biology and mathematical modeling, this work reveals the complexity of QS crosstalk, which is critical for unraveling the underlying principles of bacterial decision making and survival strategies for both natural and synthetic systems. Furthermore, the unusual hybrid multimodality arising from the combination of engineered gene circuits and host-circuit interactions could be utilized in biotechnology.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Strains, Growth Conditions, and Media

All cloning experiments were performed in Escherichia coli DH10B (Invitrogen), and measurements of positive feedback response were conducted in DH10B and MG1655. Cells were grown at 37°C (unless specified) in liquid and solid Luria-Bertani (LB) broth medium with 100 μg/ml ampicillin. Chemical 3OC6HSL and 3OC12HSL (Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in ddH2O and Nevozhay et al., 2013; Prindle et al., 2014).

Flux Cytometry

All the samples were analyzed at the time points indicated on an Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) with 488 nm excitation and 530 ± 15 nm emission detection (GFP). The data were collected in a linear scale and non-cellular low-scatter noise was removed by thresholding. All measurements of gene expression were obtained from at least three independent experiments. For each culture, 20,000 events were collected at a slow flow rate. Data files were analyzed using MATLAB (MathWorks).

Microfluidics, Fluorescence Microscopy, and Image Processing

The use of microfluidic devices coupled with fluorescence measurement allowed us to measure gene network dynamics in single cells. Media flow direction and speed was controlled through hydrostatic pressure. A detailed description of the chip can be found elsewhere (Ferry et al., 2011). Once the cell was loaded into the trap, the flow was reversed and its rate was slowed to ~120 μm/min to ensure that the cells would not be washed away and would receive enough nutrients. Furthermore, care was taken to avoid introducing bubbles to any part of the chip because they considerably disrupt flow. The chip temperature was maintained at 34°C with an external microscope stage (Tokai Hit, Japan). Inducer concentrations were controlled by adjusting the heights of the inducer–containing media syringes relative to one another. Images were taken using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon, Japan) equipped with an LED-based Lumencor SOLA SE. Light Engine with the appropriate filter sets. The excitation wavelength for GFP was 472 nm, and fluorescence emission was detected with a Semrock 520/35 nm band pass filter. Phase and fluorescent images were taken under a magnification...
of 40×, and perfect focus was maintained automatically using Nikon Elements software.

Initially OFF cells (K-12 MG1655) induced with 10−9 M C12 (6 hr) were collected as the initial ON cells, washed, resuspended with fresh media, and then loaded into the trap; 100 μg/ml ampicillin was added into media 1 and 2, but only media 2 was augmented with the corresponding inducer (10−8 M C12). The microfluidic device was used to control the chemical concentration by switching between media 1 and 2. For initial ON cells, media 2 was provided to the cells for the duration of the experiment. To prevent photobleaching and phototoxicity to the cells in the trap, exposure time was limited to 100 ms for GFP.

Images were taken every 5 min for about 28 hr in total. The pixels in all images are normalized to a 0–1 range before analysis. One image was chosen for quantification every 15 min (i.e., three images). For each cell, the intensity was calculated by averaging three selected points (left, middle, and right) in the cell and then subtracting the background. Because all the cells are offspring of the first initial ON cell, each branch in Figure 5B stands for one progeny. The cells that were washed away or had less than three generations were not analyzed.

Mathematical Modeling

Ordinary differential equation models were solved and analyzed by MATLAB. Stochastic simulations were written in MATLAB and run on a standard personal computer (details are provided in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information contains Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, four tables, and one movie and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chembior.2014.10.008.
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